Continuous Home Monitoring of Essential Tremor Using Motion Sensors
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Introduction

Essential tremor (ET) is typically measured in the clinic with a tremor rating scale. While these ratings are used to adjust medication regimen, they require the presence of a clinician for scoring and are thus not appropriate for measuring severity throughout the day. Previous studies have demonstrated the utility of motion sensors in evaluating ET under known conditions. The objective of this study was to evaluate the ability of motion sensors to classify and quantify tremor in patients with ET during unconstrained activities at home.

Methods

- 20 patients with ET wore a wireless motion sensor (Fig 1) containing a triaxial accelerometer and gyroscope on the finger for up to 10 hours on each of two separate days as they went about their normal routines.
- At one-hour intervals, the subjects also performed previously validated motion sensor-based standardized tremor assessments consisting of pre-defined tasks to evaluate rest, postural, and kinetic tremor (Fig 2).
- Recorded kinematics were processed into 0-4 severity ratings using previously validated algorithms (Heldman et al., 2011) for standardized assessments and a new multiple regression model for continuous tremor ratings.
- The day-to-day test-retest reliability of both rating types were assessed by calculating the intraclass correlation coefficients (ICCs).
- Ratings from the hourly standardized assessments were used to periodically evaluate the accuracy of continuous ratings during unconstrained activities.

Continuous Tremor Severity Ratings
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Figure 3 (top). Continuous tremor scoring during the day for one subject. The thin black line represents the median score in a sliding 5-minute window. The shaded gray region represents the interquartile distance in each of these windows. Markers show the hourly standardized tremor assessment scores for kinetic, postural, and rest tremor. The vertical tick marks at the bottom of the graph indicate when voluntary motion was detected.

Figure 4 (right, upper). Percentage of time at different tremor severities when voluntary motion was detected for two subjects.

Table 2 (right, lower). Test-retest reliability from day 1 to day 2, as quantified by the intraclass correlation coefficients, for the amount of time at each tremor severity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (%)</th>
<th>Subject 1</th>
<th>Subject 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-20</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-40</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-60</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60-80</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80-100</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Percentage of time for which the vector norm of the angular velocities exceeded 20 %
- Standard deviation of angular velocity along the axis with the largest value
- Standard deviation of the first derivative of the angular velocity along the axis with the largest value
- Average interquartile ranges of the first derivatives of the accelerations
- Number of zero crossings in the acceleration and angular velocity along the axes with the largest respective values
- Number of peaks in the acceleration along the axis with the largest value

- Ratio of power in the tremor band to that in the voluntary movement band
- Ratio of the peak in the tremor band to that in the voluntary movement band
- Logarithm of the peak in the tremor band of the power spectrum for of the accelerations and angular velocities

Table 3: Descriptions of time- and frequency-domain kinematic features used in the multiple linear regression algorithm to predict tremor severity scores every 12 seconds during unconstrained activities

- Tremor can be rated continuously during routine activities of daily living, which may help clinicians optimize therapy, expand care to underserved populations, and aid the evaluation of new therapies.
- Subjects with ET were able to don and wear the ring-like motion sensors throughout the day as they went about their regular routines.
- Standardized tremor assessments performed once an hour throughout the day show little temporal variability in tremor severity.
- Both standardized and continuous tremor assessments exhibited high test-retest reliability.
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Table 1: Subject Demographics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Disease Duration</th>
<th>On Medication</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>45-85 years</td>
<td>11 male, 9 female</td>
<td>2-60 years</td>
<td>15 yes, 5 no</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Summary of tremor statistics for all subjects (mean ± standard deviation).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Algorithm Tremor Score</th>
<th>Continuous Monitoring, On/Off Window</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rest Tremor</td>
<td>2.05 ± 0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postural Tremor</td>
<td>1.96 ± 0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kinetic Tremor</td>
<td>1.97 ± 0.47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Figure 5 (right). The scores output by the model for kinetic tremor during the standardized assessments are compared to the mean score output by the continuous tremor model during any periods of voluntary motion in the five minutes immediately following each checkpoint.